

Theory of Change Annual Reviews: How they vary depending on phase of program cycle

Year	What commonly takes place in annual TOC review	What you need to understand/ review (non-exhaustive) during the TOC review	Sample sources of data
Year 1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Conduct context review (e.g., assumptions, external actor, general context, etc.); Incorporate refinement year (RY) findings Review and refine causal logic, including SBC prioritization Review output to outcome linkage with a sustainability lens Ensure there are sufficient means for annually monitoring whether change is occurring as you expect it to for critical intermediary outcomes (e.g., RMS data points, qualitative input, implementation observations, secondary sources, etc.) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Context: Any major changes to the context that influence pathway logic? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Are critical assumptions expected to hold through life of activity? Why/ why not? How will pathways be influenced if critical assumptions do not hold? If high risk, what is your risk mitigation plan? Logic refinement: Do RY findings indicate any preconditions that are no longer necessary to achieve an outcome? These could be outputs, outcomes, assumptions, or the efforts of external actors. <i>Delete from pathways.</i> Do RY findings indicate new preconditions are necessary to achieve an outcome? These could be outputs, outcomes, assumptions, or the efforts of external actors. SBC: Is each planned behavior change necessary to achieving proposed outcomes? Is the number of expected behavior changes feasible in the life of the Activity? Sustainability: Can users logically trace the sustainability plan for key outcomes (e.g., local / private service and input provision; necessary behavior change)? Monitoring: Do any indicators need to be added or deleted in order to effectively measure whether incremental change is occurring as you expect it to? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All: Findings from formative research and other studies, including secondary literature Assumptions: websites; secondary literature; informal key informant (KI) interviews; External Actors: shared reports; websites; informal KI interviews; staff observations

Year	What commonly takes place in annual TOC review	What you need to understand/ review (non-exhaustive) during the TOC review	Sample sources of data
<p>Year 2</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Conduct context review (e.g., assumptions, external actor, general context, etc.) ● Completion of BHA “identification” phase for sustainability planning. ● Map output/ outcome achievement to TOC diagrams. ● Analyze expected and, when possible demonstrated change ● Continue to refine causal logic (prioritization/ elimination) ● Monitoring review 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Context: Any major changes to the context that influence pathway logic? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The extent to which external actors are producing outputs and achieving their outcomes? If progress is slower than expected, what is the potential impact on your TOC pathway achievement? ● Sustainability: Are LSP/PSPs for inputs and services articulated in the outputs? Can users logically trace the sustainability plan for key outcomes? ● Achievement/ Logic refinement: To the extent possible with available Year 2 data, and in consideration of how long it takes to demonstrate change for various outcomes, discuss: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Which outcomes are expected to demonstrate change within the next year? What systems must be developed to track progress if not already in place? ○ Are any outcomes no longer necessary to achieve upper level results? Any outcomes that may shift to responsibility of an external actor? ○ Which outputs must be prioritized in order to stimulate change (layering, sequencing, integration!)? ○ Are all outputs still necessary to stimulate change? ● Monitoring: Any new monitoring needs to help understand whether incremental change is occurring as you expect it to? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Everything listed in Year 1 cell, if relevant ● Staff observations, especially changes that may not be formally monitored ● IPTT % of target achieved for outputs and outcomes in lower tiers of TOC ● Annual monitoring data sources for tracking not reported in IPTT ● DIP output status: in progress, completed, eliminated ● Activity progress reports

Year	What commonly takes place in annual TOC review	What you need to understand/ review (non-exhaustive) during the TOC review	Sample sources of data
<p>Years 3-4</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Conduct context review (e.g., assumptions, external actor, general context, etc.) ● Review midterm evaluation (MTE) recommendations ● Map output/ outcome achievement to TOC diagrams. ● Analyze expected and demonstrated change w/ sustainability lens ● Fine tune plans for linking LSPs/ PSPs to capacity strengthening opportunities and resources. ● Refine strategy for monitoring quality and accountability of service/ input delivery. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Everything listed in Year 2, achievement/ logic refinement now relevant to MTE findings. ● Sustainability: Which outcomes show promise for continuing after the Activity ends and why? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Do findings related to service/ input provisioning demonstrate positive shifts in motivation, capacity, and linkages to necessary resources? ○ Do findings related to behavior change demonstrate positive shifts in motivation, capacity (skills/ knowledge), and linkages to necessary resources to sustain behaviors? ● What outcomes may not sustain, and why? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Everything listed Year 2 cell ● MTE findings and recommendations ● IPTT % of target achieved for outcomes in all tiers of TOC